arXiv:1609.09760v1 [math.AG] 30 Sep 2016

Algebraic and algorithmic aspects of radical parametrizations☆

J. Rafael Sendra[a], David Sevilla[b,1,*], Carlos Villarino[a]

[a] *Research group ASYNACS*
Dept. of Physics and Mathematics, University of Alcalá
E-28871 Alcalá de Henares (Madrid, Spain)
[b] *U. Center of Mérida, University of Extremadura*
Av. Santa Teresa de Jornet 38
E-06800 Mérida (Badajoz, Spain)

Abstract

In this article algebraic constructions are introduced in order to study the variety defined by a radical parametrization (a tuple of functions involving complex numbers, n variables, the four field operations and radical extractions). We provide algorithms to implicitize radical parametrizations and to check whether a radical parametrization can be reparametrized into a rational parametrization.

Keywords: radical parametrization, tracing index, reparametrization, implicitization

1. Introduction

It is well known that in many applications dealing with geometric objects, parametric representations are very useful (see [HL93]). In general, when one works with parametric representations of algebraic curves and surfaces, the involved functions are rational. Nevertheless it is well known that only genus 0 curves, and arithmetic and plurigenus 0 surfaces, have this property. One

☆Partially supported by the Spanish *Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad* under Project MTM2014-54141-P, and by Junta de Extremadura and FEDER funds.

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: Rafael.Sendra@uah.es (J. Rafael Sendra), sevillad@unex.es (David Sevilla), Carlos.Villarino@uah.es (Carlos Villarino)

[1]Member of the research group GADAC (Ref. FQM024).

possible way to overcome this limitation is to work with piecewise approximated parametrizations. A second way is to extend the family of functions used in the parametric representation; for instance using radicals of polynomials. This last case is the frame of this paper. When dealing with curves, algorithms to parametrize with radicals can be found in [SS11] and [Har13] that cover the cases of genus less of equal 6. In addition, in [SS13] one can find algorithms to parametrize by radicals certain clases of surfaces.

In this article we continue the exploration of radical parametrizations initiated in [SS11] and [SS13]. Here we introduce a framework to manipulate these parametrizations in a rational way by means of rational auxiliary varieties and maps. This allows us to apply results of algebraic geometry to derive conclusions on the radical parametrization and its image. In short, to translate radical statements into rational ones. More precisely, from the the theoretical point of view, we introduce the notion of radical variety associated to a radical parametrization, and we prove that it is irreducible and of dimension equal to the number of parameters in the parametrization. Furthermore, we introduce the notion of tracing index of radical parametrization that extends the notion of properness of rational parametrization (see [SW01]). In addition, we define an algebraic variety, that we call tower variety, that is birationally equivalent to the radical variety when the trancing index is 1. The most interesting property of the tower variety is that it encodes rationally the information of the radical parametrization. From the algorithmic point of view, we show now to compute generators of the radical variety and of the tower variety, in particular an implicitization algorithm for radical parametrizations, and we how to compute the tracing index. As a potential application we present and algorithm to decide, and actually compute, whether a given radical parametrization can be reparametrized into a rational parametrization. Also, we show how the tower variety may help to compute symbolically integral whose integrand is a rational function of radicals of polynomials.

The paper is structured as follows: in Section 2 we recall the notion of radical parametrization and we discuss how to represent them. In Section 3 we introduce the concept of radical variety and we prove some of the main properties. In Section 4 the tower variety is defined, properties are presented, and its application to check the reparametrizability of radical parametrizations into rational parametrizations is introduced.

2. The notion of radical parametrization

A radical parametrization is, intuitively speaking, a tuple $\overline{x} = (x_1(\bar{t}), \ldots, x_r(\bar{t}))$ of functions of variables $\bar{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ which are constructed by repeated application of sums, differences, products, quotients and roots of any index; we will assume in the sequel that $r > n$. More formally, a radical parametrization is a tuple of elements of a radical extension of the field $\mathbb{C}(\bar{t})$ of rational functions in the variables $\bar{t}$. In the following we approach the concept by means of Field Theory.

Definition 2.1. A *radical tower over* $\mathbb{C}(\bar{t})$ is a tower of field extensions $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{C}(\bar{t}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{m-1} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_m$ such that $\mathbb{F}_i = \mathbb{F}_{i-1}(\delta_i) = \mathbb{F}_0(\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_i)$ with $\delta_i^{e_i} = \alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_{i-1}$, $e_i \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $\mathbb{C}(\bar{t})$ is a radical tower over itself.

Definition 2.2. A *radical parametrization* is a tuple $\overline{x}(\bar{t})$ of elements of the last field $\mathbb{F}_m$ of some radical tower over $\mathbb{C}(\bar{t})$, such that their Jacobian has rank n.

Remark 2.3.

(i) A rational parametrization is a radical parametrization.

(ii) The Jacobian is defined by extension of the canonical derivations $\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}$ from $\mathbb{C}(\bar{t})$ to $\mathbb{F}_m$ (see [Lan02, Chapter 8.5, Theorem 5.1], or [ZS75, Chapter II.17, Corollary 2] for the formal details). One can calculate the derivatives of the δ's recursively as follows: for each expression $\delta_i^{e_i} = \alpha_i$ in the definition of the tower we write a relation $\Delta_i^{e_i} = \alpha_i(\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_{i-1})$ where $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_i$ are new variables dependent on the $\bar{t}$. Then we can differentiate with respect to any t_i to obtain

$$e_i \Delta_i^{e_i - 1} \frac{\partial \Delta_i}{\partial t_j} = \frac{\partial \alpha_i}{\partial t_j},$$

the right hand side involving $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_{i-1}$ and their derivatives. Substituting the δ's into the Δ's we obtain an explicit relation between $\frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial t_j}$ and the previous partial derivatives.

Let us illustrate the notion of radical parametrization with an example, in order to relate the usual way of writing radical expressions to our Definition 2.2. See for instance [CF76] and [DST88, Section 2.6] for more information on the topic of representation and simplification of radical functions.

Example 2.4. One would expect that the expression

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[6]{t}\sqrt[3]{t}-\sqrt{t}}, t\right) \tag{2.1}$$

was not defined because the denominator is zero. This is due to the default interpretations of the roots as the principal branches (i.e. $\sqrt[n]{1} = +1$). Let us try to be more explicit about those branches by interpreting (2.1) as a parametrization in the sense of Definition 2.2.

For this purpose, we need to introduce a radical tower over $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{C}(t)$. We can consider the following tower

$$\mathbb{T} := [\mathbb{F}_0 \subset \mathbb{F}_0(\delta_1) \subset \mathbb{F}_0(\delta_1, \delta_2) \subset \mathbb{F}_0(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3), \text{ where } \delta_1^2 = t,\ \delta_2^3 = t,\ \delta_3^6 = t].$$

Note that there are different choices for the δ_i, but all possible elections of conjugates generate the same tower. Thus, we can write the parametrization as

$$\left(\frac{1}{\delta_3\delta_2-\delta_1}, t\right) \tag{2.2}$$

In order to specify the radical parametrization, we will fix particular choices of the δ_i. For that, one possibility is to provide a value $t_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ as well as values $\delta_1(t_0), \delta_2(t_0), \delta_3(t_0)$ such that (2.2) is well-defined. For instance, $\delta_1(1) = -1, \delta_2(1) = e^{2\pi \mathtt{i}/3}, \delta_3(1) = +1$ will produce the expression

$$\left(\frac{e^{-\pi \mathtt{i}/3}}{\sqrt{t}}, t\right) \tag{2.3}$$

where the latter root denotes the principal branch. Note that for this particular election the Jacobian has the required rank. Finally, observe that not all elections of the δ_i are valid. For instance, if we choose $\delta_1(1) = \delta_2(1) = \delta_3(1) = 1$, then the denominator is identically zero. Summarizing, (2.1) is not a radical parametrization unless we specify the tower and we choose the $\delta_i(t)$ branches properly. A conveniently compact notation for (2.3) is

$$\left\{\left(\frac{1}{\delta_3\delta_2-\delta_1}, t\right), \mathbb{T}, \delta_1(1) = -1, \delta_2(1) = e^{2\pi i/3}, \delta_3(1) = 1\right\}.$$

We observe that other towers can be used in this construction, for example $\mathbb{C}(t) \subset \mathbb{C}(\delta)$ where $\delta^6 = t$ and $\delta(1) = 1$. Then (2.3) can be rewritten as

$$\left\{\left(\frac{e^{-\pi \mathtt{i}/3}}{\delta^3}, t\right), \mathbb{T}' := [\mathbb{C}(t) \subset \mathbb{C}(\delta), \delta^6 = t], \delta(1) = 1\right\}.$$

We will not address in this article the problems of finding optimally simple or canonical ways of expressing radical parametrizations.

Example 2.5. Let us generate a radical parametrization from the expression

$$(x(t), y(t)) = \left(t + \frac{\sqrt[4]{t^3+2t}}{\sqrt{t^2-\sqrt[3]{t-1}}}, \frac{\sqrt[4]{5\sqrt[3]{t-1}+1}}{t^3+5} \right) \tag{2.4}$$

We consider the tower

$$\mathbb{T} := \left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbb{C}(t) \subset \mathbb{F}_1 := \mathbb{C}(t)(\delta_1) \subset \mathbb{F}_2 := \mathbb{F}_1(\delta_2) \subset \mathbb{F}_3 := \mathbb{F}_2(\delta_3) \subset \mathbb{F}_4 := \mathbb{F}_3(\delta_4), \\ \text{where } \delta_1^3 = t-1,\ \delta_2^2 = t^2 - \delta_1, \delta_3^4 = 5\delta_1 + 1,\ \delta_4^4 = t^3 + 2t \end{array} \right].$$

Then, one possible radical parametrization from the given ambiguous expression (2.4) is

$$\left\{ \left(t + \frac{\delta_4}{\delta_2}, \frac{\delta_3}{t^3+5} \right), \mathbb{T}, \delta_1(2) = 1, \delta_2(2) = +\sqrt{3}, \delta_3(2) = +\sqrt[4]{6}, \delta_4(2) = +\sqrt[4]{12} \right\}.$$

Another way of writing the same parametrization is

$$\left\{ \left(t + \mathtt{i}\,\frac{\delta_4}{\delta_2}, \frac{\delta_3}{t^3+5} \right), \mathbb{T}, \delta_1(2) = 1, \delta_2(2) = -\sqrt{3}, \delta_3(2) = +\sqrt[4]{6}, \delta_4(2) = \mathtt{i}\sqrt[4]{12} \right\}.$$

Note that we have changed the definitions of δ_2 and δ_4 and compensated the change with a coefficient in the parametrization.

3. The variety of a radical parametrization

In this section we associate to a radical parametrization an algebraic variety that coincides with the intuitive notion of the (Zariski closure of the) image of the vector function defined naturally from the parametrization. Furthermore we establish some of its main properties. With the notations of the previous section, let

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{ (x_1(\bar{t}), \ldots, x_r(\bar{t})),\ \mathbb{T},\ \delta_1(\bar{t}_0) = a_1, \ldots, \delta_m(\bar{t}_0) = a_m \right\}$$

be a radical parametrization. Note that the δ's and the x's (see Definitions 2.1 and 2.2) are not necessarily rational over $\bar{t}$, but they can be expressed rationally as

$$\begin{gathered} \alpha_1 = \frac{\alpha_{1N}(\bar{t})}{\alpha_{1D}(\bar{t})},\ \alpha_2 = \frac{\alpha_{2N}(\bar{t}, \delta_1)}{\alpha_{2D}(\bar{t}, \delta_1)},\ \ldots,\ \alpha_m = \frac{\alpha_{mN}(\bar{t}, \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_{m-1})}{\alpha_{mD}(\bar{t}, \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_{m-1})}, \\ x_i(\bar{t}) = \frac{x_{iN}(\bar{t}, \bar{\delta})}{x_{iD}(\bar{t}, \bar{\delta})} \quad \text{where } \bar{\delta} = (\delta_1(\bar{t}), \ldots, \delta_m(\bar{t})). \end{gathered} \tag{3.1}$$

Remark 3.1. The rational functions in (3.1) are not uniquely determined by the algebraic elements that define $\mathcal{P}$, due to the δ's being algebraic elements over the rational function field. In the objects defined below we indicate only the dependence of $\mathcal{P}$ as subindices for simplicity of notation.

Next we will define several maps for our constructions, ψ, φ, and π, whose domains will be specified later where needed. Let

$$\psi\colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^{n+m}\colon\ \overline{t} \mapsto (\,\overline{t}\,,\,\overline{\delta}\,) \ \text{ and } \ \varphi\colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^{n+m+r}\colon\ \overline{t} \mapsto (\,\overline{t}\,,\,\overline{\delta}\,,\,\overline{x}\,) \quad (3.2)$$

Definition 3.2. The *incidence variety* associated to this representation of $\mathcal{P}$ is $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P} = \overline{\mathrm{Im}(\varphi)} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+m+r}$.

The last map that we construct is the projection from $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$ onto the variables of interest, the $\overline{x}$:

$$\pi\colon \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+m+r} \to \mathbb{C}^r\colon (\,\overline{t}\,,\,\overline{\delta}\,,\,\overline{x}\,) \mapsto \overline{x}\,.$$

Definition 3.3. The *radical variety* associated to the parametrization $\mathcal{P}$ is $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P} = \overline{\pi(\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P})} \subset \mathbb{C}^r$.

In the rest of this section we will prove some basic properties of these objects, among them that $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$ are irreducible of dimension n. To this end we define an auxiliary variety, the variety $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$, that also has computational interest. For this purpose, we introduce the variables $\overline{T}\,,\,\overline{\Delta}\,,\,\overline{X}\,,Z$. In the ring $\mathbb{C}[\,\overline{T}\,,\,\overline{\Delta}\,,\,\overline{X}\,,Z]$ we define the polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_1 = (\Delta_1)^{e_1}\cdot\alpha_{1D}(\,\overline{T}\,) - \alpha_{1N}(\,\overline{T}\,),\\
&E_i = (\Delta_i)^{e_i}\cdot\alpha_{iD}(\,\overline{T}\,,\Delta_1,\ldots,\Delta_{i-1}) - \alpha_{iN}(\,\overline{T}\,,\Delta_1,\ldots,\Delta_{i-1}), \quad i=2,\ldots,m,\\
&G_j = X_j\cdot x_{jD}(\,\overline{T}\,,\,\overline{\Delta}\,) - x_{jN}(\,\overline{T}\,,\,\overline{\Delta}\,), \qquad j=1,\ldots,r,\\
&G_Z = Z\cdot\mathrm{lcm}(x_{1D},\ldots,x_{rD},\alpha_{1D},\cdots,\alpha_{mD}) - 1.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Let us denote the zeroset over $\mathbb{C}$ of finitely many polynomials $\{P,Q,\ldots\}$ as $V(P,Q,\ldots)$.

Definition 3.4. $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$ is the Zariski closure of the projection of $V(E_1,\ldots,E_m,G_1,\ldots,G_r,G_Z)$ onto all but the last coordinate (therefore $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+m+r}$).

The objects and maps defined so far are shown in a commutative diagram.



(3.4)

Two examples will serve here as an illustration.

Example 3.5. Consider the radical parametrization $(t, \sqrt{1-t^2})$ of the unit circle, where the root denotes the principal branch. An expression for this is

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{(t,\delta)\,,\ \mathbb{T} := [\mathbb{C}(t) \subset \mathbb{C}(t)(\delta), \delta^2 = 1-t^2],\ \delta(0) = +1\right\}.$$

Then:

- $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$ is $V(\Delta^2 - 1 + T^2, X - T, Y - \Delta)$ (the Z-equation was $Z - 1$, trivially eliminated). This is a circle in $\mathbb{C}^4$.
- $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$ is the closure of the image of $\varphi(t) = (t, \sqrt{1-t^2}, t, \sqrt{1-t^2})$ which coincides with $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$.
- $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$ is the projection of $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$ onto the variables X, Y which is the circle $X^2 + Y^2 = 1$.

Example 3.6. This example is based on Example 4.8 in [SS13]. Consider the radical parametrization

$$\left(t_2, \frac{t_2\left(\sqrt{{t_1}^{10} - 4\,{t_2}^3 t_1 - 4\,t_1} - {t_1}^5\right)}{2\,{t_2}^3 + 2}, t_1\right)$$

of a surface in $\mathbb{C}^3$, where the root denotes the principal branch. An expression for this is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P} \;=\; \Bigg\{&\left(t_2, \frac{(-{t_1}^5 + \delta)\,t_2}{2\,{t_2}^3 + 2}, t_1\right),\\ &\mathbb{T} := [\mathbb{C}(t_1, t_2) \subset \mathbb{C}(t_1, t_2)(\delta), \delta^2 = {t_1}^{10} - 4\,{t_2}^3 t_1 - 4\,t_1],\\ &\delta(1,-1) = +1\Bigg\}.\end{aligned}$$

The polynomials in (3.3) are

$$\begin{array}{rcl} E_1 & = & -{T_1}^{10} + 4\,{T_2}^3 T_1 + \Delta^2 + 4\,T_1 \\ G_1 & = & X_1 - T_2 \\ G_2 & = & X_2\left(2\,{T_2}^3 + 2\right) - \left(-{T_1}^5 + \Delta\right) T_2 \\ G_3 & = & X_3 - T_1 \\ G_Z & = & Z\left(2\,{T_2}^3 + 2\right) - 1 \end{array}$$

Then:

- Eliminating Z in $\{E_1, G_1, G_2, G_3, G_Z\}$, we get that $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$ is $V(\mathcal{F})$ where

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{F} & = \{ & X_1 - T_2, \\ & & X_3 - T_1, \\ & & X_2{T_1}^5 + X_2\Delta + 2\,T_2 T_1, \\ & & {T_1}^{10} - 4\,T_1{T_2}^3 - \Delta^2 - 4\,T_1, \\ & & {X_2}^2{T_2}^3 - X_2\Delta\,T_2 - T_1{T_2}^2 + {X_2}^2, \\ & & T_2{T_1}^5 + 2\,X_2{T_2}^3 - \Delta\,T_2 + 2\,X_2 \quad \} \end{array} \tag{3.5}$$

  and $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$ is irreducible of dimension 2.

- Since $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$ is irreducible, and using that $\dim(\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}) = \dim(\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P})$ (this fact will be proved later in Theorem 3.9, but for illustrating purposes we use it here), we have that $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$.

- $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$ is the projection of $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$ onto the variables X_1, X_2, X_3 which is the surface defined by the polynomial $X_1{X_3}^5X_2 + {X_1}^3{X_2}^2 + {X_1}^2X_3 + {X_2}^2$.

Example 3.7. Let $\mathcal{P} = (\sqrt[4]{t^2}, t)$ where $\sqrt[4]{1} = +1$. We have for instance the expression

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{(\delta, t)\,,\ \mathbb{T} := [\mathbb{C}(t) \subset \mathbb{C}(t)(\delta), \delta^4 = t^2],\ \delta(1) = +1\right\}.$$

Note that the tower is an extension of degree 2.

Then $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P} = V(\Delta^4 - T^2, X - \Delta, Y - T)$, which has two irreducible components; one of them is $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$, namely $V(\Delta^2 - T, X - \Delta, Y - T)$, which is projected on $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P} = V(X^2 - Y)$.

We can say more: the whole $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$ is projected on $V(X^4 - Y^2)$ which is the union of the parabolas $X^2 = \pm Y$. The image of $\mathcal{P}$ is one "half" of the parabola $X^2 = Y$, and $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$ is the whole parabola. The "conjugate parametrizations" $(\mathtt{i}^k\sqrt[4]{t^2}, t)$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, cover the other three half-parabolas.

As the previous example shows, $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$ contains points related to the different conjugates of the radicals, since the defining polynomials E_i (see (3.3)) do not discriminate them. In other words, the same variety $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$ is obtained if we conjugate any radicals in the parametrization.

Next we will prove that $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$ is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a radical parametrization. Then $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$ is contained in a unique irreducible component of $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. We will prove that $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi)$ is contained in one component of $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$ by contradiction. In short, two points in different components can be connected by a path so that all its points are regular. But by continuity the path must contain a point that lies in more than one component, thus singular (see [CLO07, Chapter 9.6, Theorem 8(iv)]). We develop the proof below. We assume w.l.o.g. that no δ_i is 0; note that if $\delta_i = 0$ then $\mathbb{F}_i = \mathbb{F}_{i-1}$ and it can be omitted.

We introduce some notation. Let $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P} = V(E_1, \ldots, E_m, G_1, \ldots, G_r, G_Z)$, and let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ consisting of those $\overline{t} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

- for some $i = 1, \ldots, m$, either $\alpha_{iN}(\overline{t}, \overline{\delta}(\overline{t})) = 0$ or $\alpha_{iD}(\overline{t}, \overline{\delta}(\overline{t})) = 0$,
- for some $i = 1, \ldots, r$, $x_{iD}(\overline{t}, \overline{\delta}(\overline{t})) = 0$.

Let $\Omega = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus D$, and let

$$\pi_Z \colon \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+m+r+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+m+r} \colon (\overline{t}, \overline{\delta}, \overline{x}, Z) \mapsto (\overline{t}, \overline{\delta}, \overline{x}),$$

$$\varphi_Z = \pi_Z^{-1} \circ \varphi : \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+m+r+1}.$$

Below, in Step 3, we will see $\overline{\Omega} = \mathbb{C}^n$. So, since we consider φ restricted to Ω, we can reason with $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi)$ instead of $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$. We assume, by contradiction, that there exist two different irreducible components Γ_1, Γ_2 of $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$ containing $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi)$. Let Σ_1, Σ_2 be the two irreducible components of $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P}$ that project, via π_Z, onto Γ_1, Γ_2, respectively; note that π_Z is 1:1.

Step 1. Let $\overline{t}_0 \in \Omega$. Then $p := \varphi_Z(\overline{t}_0)$ is nonsingular in $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P}$, and lies in a unique component (which has dimension n).

We apply [CLO07, Chapter 9.6, Theorem 9] to p and $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P}$. For this it suffices to find a nonzero minor of order $m + r + 1$ in the Jacobian matrix of $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P}$. Consider the submatrix corresponding to the partial derivatives with respect to all the variables except the $\overline{T}$. It is lower triangular, and the diagonal

elements are $\frac{\partial E_i}{\partial \Delta_i} = e_i(\Delta_i)^{e_i-1} \cdot \alpha_{iD}(\overline{T}, \Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_{i-1})$ and denominators occurring in the definition of the parametrization. Upon evaluation, the latter are nonzero by construction and the former are nonzero by hypothesis. Thus, p is nonsingular and lies in a unique component of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}}$ of dimension n.

Step 2. Let $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be algebraic of dimension $\leq n-1$. Then $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus W$ is path connected. We prove it by induction on n:

- $n = 1$: $\mathbb{C}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$, and W is a finite or empty set.
- Induction step: Let $W \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be algebraic of dimension $\leq n$. Let $p, q \notin W$, we will prove that there is a path between them disjoint from W. Let Π be a hyperplane containing p and q. Now, $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \cap \Pi \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ and $W \cap \Pi$ is algebraic of dimension $< n$ since $\Pi \not\subset W$. By induction there is a path between p and q contained in Π and disjoint from $W \cap \Pi$, thus also disjoint from W.

Step 3. $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is contained in an algebraic set of dimension $< n$:

- Let $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_i \setminus \{0\}$. Since β is algebraic over $\mathbb{F}_0$, let its minimal polynomial be, after clearing denominators,

$$q_\ell(\bar{t}\,)Z^\ell + q_{\ell-1}(\bar{t}\,)Z^{\ell-1} + \cdots + q_0(\bar{t}\,), \qquad q_j \in \mathbb{C}[\bar{t}\,][Z], \quad q_0 \neq 0.$$

  Then, from $\beta\left(q_\ell \beta^{\ell-1} + \cdots\right) = -q_0$ we deduce that if $\beta(\bar{t}\,) = 0$ then $q_0(\bar{t}\,) = 0$. But the zeroset of q_0 is algebraic of dimension $< n$.

- Since D is contained in the union of the zerosets of the numerators and denominators of the $\delta_i^{e_i} \in \mathbb{F}_{i-1} \setminus \{0\}$, the claim is proven.

Step 4. Let $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be algebraic of dimension $\leq n-1$ such that $D \subset W$ (see Step 3). Then, $\Omega' := \mathbb{C}^n \setminus W$ is path connected (see step 2), it is included in Ω, and it is dense in $\mathbb{C}^n$. So we can continue our proof by reasoning on the image of φ restricted to Ω'. But first, we remind the reader that we are assuming (by contradiction) that $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+m+r}$ is contained in two components Γ_1 and Γ_2 of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}}$, and that Σ_1 and Σ_2 are the components of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}}$ that are projected, via Π_Z, over Γ_1 and Γ_2 respectively. Now suppose that $\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_2 \in \Omega'$, and that $p = \varphi_Z(\bar{t}_1) \in \Sigma_1$ and $q = \varphi_Z(\bar{t}_2) \in \Sigma_2$ lie in different components of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{P}}$ (note that by Step 1 each one lies in only one component):

- Consider a path between $\bar{t}_1$ and $\bar{t}_2$ in Ω' and its image $\gamma \subset \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P}$ by φ_Z (γ is connected because φ_Z is continuous in the ambient topology). By Step 1 all the points of γ are regular. However we prove now that γ contains a singular point: let C_1 be the Zariski component of $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P}$ containing p and C_2 be the union of the other components (so $q \in C_2$). Then $\gamma \cap C_1$ is closed in γ because C_1 is closed in the ambient topology, and $\gamma \cap C_2$ is closed likewise. Since they cover γ, which is connected, and both are nonempty, they are not disjoint. But any point in $C_1 \cap C_2$ is singular.

□

The next result shows that we are indeed working with algebraic sets of dimension n.

Theorem 3.9.

(i) *Every component of $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$ has dimension n.*
(ii) *$\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$ is irreducible and has dimension n.*
(iii) *$\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$ is irreducible and has dimension n.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P}$ be as in the proof of Theorem 3.8. Consider the projection

$$\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+m+r+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \colon (\bar{t}, \bar{\delta}, \bar{x}, Z) \mapsto \bar{t}.$$

Every of its fibres is finite, by inspection of the defining system of $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P}$: by the polynomial G_Z, all denominators are nonzero, so every polynomial E_i has finitely many solutions in Δ_i. Thus by [Har92, Corollary 11.13], every component of $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P}$ has dimension $\leq \dim \mathbb{C}^n = n$. On the other hand, by [Wan01, Proposition 6.1.9] (see also [Har77, Proposition I.7.1]), every component of $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P}$ has dimension $\geq (n+m+r+1)-(m+r+1) = n$. Therefore $\dim \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P} = n$ and the same is true of its components.

(i) Let π_Z be as in the proof of Theorem 3.8. Clearly π_Z is a finite map. So, using that all the components of $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P}$ have dimension n, and [Har92, Corollary 11.13] again, one gets that every component of $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$ has dimension n.
(ii) By Theorem 3.8 and the previous item, we have that $\dim \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P} \leq n$. On the other hand, let Ω be as in the proof of Theorem 3.8, and $\pi^\times \colon \mathbb{C}^{n+m+r} \to \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\pi^\times(\bar{t}, \bar{\delta}, \bar{x}) = \bar{t}$. Then, $\Omega \subset \pi^\times(\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}) \subset$

$\mathbb{C}^n$. Thus, since Ω is dense in $\mathbb{C}^n$, it follows that $\pi^\times(\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P})$ is also dense in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Therefore, by [CLO07, Chapter 9.5, Proposition 5], $\dim \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P} \geq n$. Thus $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$ is one of the irreducible components of $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$, and it is of dimension n.

(iii) Let $F_1, \ldots, F_s$ be a generating set of the ideal of $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$. By construction, $F_i(\mathcal{P}) = 0$. By the chain rule, $J_{(F_1,\ldots,F_s)}(\mathcal{P}) \cdot J_\mathcal{P} = (0)$. Let $\bar{t}_0$ be such that $\operatorname{rank} J_\mathcal{P}(\bar{t}_0) = n$. By the Sylvester rank inequality ([Hoh02, Theorem 6.5.6]), we have that $\operatorname{rank} J_{(F_1,\ldots,F_s)}(\mathcal{P}(\bar{t}_0)) \leq r - n$. Therefore, $\dim \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P} \geq \dim \ker J_{(F_1,\ldots,F_s)}(\mathcal{P}(\bar{t}_0)) = r - \operatorname{rank} J_{(F_1,\ldots,F_s)(\mathcal{P}(\bar{t}_0))} \geq r - (r - n) = n$. On the other hand $\dim \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P} \leq \dim \mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P} = n$, hence $\dim \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P} = n$. Finally, $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$ is irreducible because it is the closure of the image of $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$ by π.

□

Remark 3.10. By inspecting the defining equations of $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P}$ (see the proof of Theorem 3.8) one can check that each fiber of the map $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{C}^n \colon (\bar{t}, \bar{\delta}, \bar{x}, Z) \mapsto \bar{t}$ has cardinality $\leq \prod e_i$. Therefore, this is a bound for the number of components of $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{P}$, and hence of $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$.

Remark 3.11. $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$ coincides with the intuitive definition of radical variety as $\overline{\operatorname{Im}(\mathcal{P})}$, since the former is irreducible, and thanks to the Jacobian rank condition. Therefore, $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$ does not depend on the construction above or the choice of the expression (3.1).

Remark 3.12 (Implicitization algorithm for $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$)**.** The following algorithm computes equations of $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$:

(i) Write equations for $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$ by eliminating Z from (3.3).
(ii) Decompose $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P}$ and evaluate φ at any parameter value to determine a point inside $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$.
(iii) Eliminate all the variables except the $\overline{X}$ to obtain the equations of $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$.

Example 3.13 (Example 3.7 continued)**.** We calculated before that $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P} = V(\Delta^4 - T^2, X - \Delta, Y - T)$ which decomposes as

$$\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{P} = V(\Delta^2 - T, X - \Delta, Y - T) \cup V(\Delta^2 + T, X - \Delta, Y - T).$$

Call the components W_1 and W_2 respectively. Since $\varphi(1) = (1, 1, 1, 1) \in W_1 \setminus W_2$, it follows that $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P} = W_1$. Eliminating in $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$ the variables Δ, T we obtain that $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P} = V(X^2 - Y)$.

4. Rationality and reparametrization of radical varieties

One of the first questions we can ask is whether a given radical parametrization is actually parametrizing a rational variety. In this section we discuss this question. For this purpose, we will introduce a variety, called the tower variety, that will provide the necessary information for our goal. In addition, we will also define the notion of tracing index that will allow us to count algebraically the fibers of a radical parametrization, and that we motivate in the next example.

Example 4.1. Consider the radical curve parametrization $\mathcal{P} = (t^2, \sqrt{t})$ where $\sqrt{1} = +1$. That is, with the terminology introduced in Section 2,

$$\mathcal{P} = \left\{(t^2, \delta), \mathbb{T} := [\mathbb{C}(t) \subset \mathbb{C}(t)(\delta), \delta^2 = t], \delta(1) = 1\right\}.$$

Then $\varphi(t) = (t, \sqrt{t}, t^2, \sqrt{t})$ (see (3.4)). The incidence variety $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$ is defined by the equations $\Delta^2 = T, X = T^2, Y = \Delta$ and it is irreducible. The radical variety $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$ is the zeroset of $X = Y^4$. We study now the fiber of π and $\mathcal{P}$ for different points in $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$ (see (3.4)). Consider the two points $p = (16, 2)$ and $q = (16, -2)$ in $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$:

- $\pi^{-1}(p) = \{(4, 2, p)\}$ and $\pi^{-1}(q) = \{(4, -2, q)\}$. In fact every fiber of π has exactly one point, since the second coordinate determines the value of t uniquely, and this gives precisely one point in $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$.
- However, p has a unique preimage by $\mathcal{P}$, namely $t = 4$, but q has no preimage; $\mathcal{P}^{-1}(p) = \{4\}$, and $\mathcal{P}^{-1}(q) = \emptyset$.

Indeed, the parametrization covers "half" of $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$. Analogously, φ covers half of $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$ (for example $\pi^{-1}(q)$ is not in the image of φ).

This example shows how in some sense the fibers of π, from $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$ to $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$, behave better than those of $\mathcal{P}$, from $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$ to $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 4.2. The *tracing index* of a parametrization $\mathcal{P}$ is the degree of the map π, that is, the generic cardinal of $\pi^{-1}(p)$ for $p \in \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$.

Example 4.3. Consider $\mathcal{P} = (t^2, \sqrt{t^2+1})$; more precisely, $\mathcal{P} = \{(t^2, \delta), \mathbb{T} := [\mathbb{C}(t) \subset \mathbb{C}(t)(\delta), \delta^2 = t^2+1], \delta(1) = +\sqrt{2}\}$. Then $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P} = V(\Delta^2 - (T^2+1), X - T^2, Y - \Delta)$ and $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P} = V(Y^2 - (X+1))$. Every point $(a, b) \in \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$ with $a \neq 0$ has two preimages in $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{P}$ by π, namely $\pi^{-1}(a, b) = \{(\pm\sqrt{a}, b, a, b)\}$. Therefore the tracing index of $\mathcal{P}$ is two. On the other hand, $(1, \sqrt{2}) \in \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{P}$ has as preimages

by $\mathcal{P}$ the values $t = \pm 1$, but $(1, -\sqrt{2}) \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ has no preimage (the chosen branch produces positive roots of positive real numbers). Indeed, only half of the points of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ are covered by $\mathcal{P}$.

In the next example we illustrate how to compute the tracing index.

Example 4.4 (Example 3.6 continued)**.** We consider the radical surface parametrization $\mathcal{P}$ of Example 3.6. One possibility for computing the tracing index is to proceed probabilistically; that is, we take a random point in $p \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and then determine the cardinality of $\pi^{-1}(p)$. For this purpose, we may give values to the parameters in $\mathcal{P}$. Let us take

$$p = \mathcal{P}(4, 8) = \left(8, \frac{4}{513}\sqrt{1040368} - \frac{4096}{513}, 4\right).$$

The fiber of p under π is

$$\pi^{-1}(p) = \left\{\left(4, 8, 28\sqrt{1327}, 8, \frac{112}{513}\sqrt{1327} - \frac{4096}{513}, 4\right)\right\}.$$

Therefore, the tracing index of $\mathcal{P}$ is 1. Alternatively, if one wants to compute deterministically the tracing index, we may repeat the above computation with a generic point in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$. More precisely, let $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ such that $F(a, b, c) = 0$ where $F(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ is the defining polynomial of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ (see Example 3.6). In this situation, we consider the polynomials

$$\mathcal{G} := \{F(a, b, c), X_1 - a, X_2 - b, X_3 - c\} \cup \mathcal{F}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the set of generators of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$ (see (3.5)). We compute the reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathcal{G}$ with respect to the pure lexicographic order with $a > b > c > X_1 > X_2 > X_3 > t_1 > t_2 > \Delta$, obtaining

$$\begin{array}{ll}\{ & {t_1}^{10} - 4\,t_1{t_2}^3 - \Delta^2 - 4\,t_1,\ c - t_1,\ {t_1}^5 t_2 + 2\,b{t_2}^3 - \Delta\,t_2 + 2\,b, \\ & b{t_1}^5 + \Delta\,b + 2\,t_2 t_1,\ a - t_2,\ X_3 - t_1,\ X_2 - b,\ X_1 - t_2 \quad \}.\end{array}$$

Then,

$$\pi^{-1}(a, b, c) = \left\{\left((c, a), -\frac{c\,(bc^4 + 2\,a)}{b}, (a, b, c)\right)\right\}.$$

Therefore, the tracing index is 1, and the inverse map is

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \pi^{-1}: & \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{P}} \\ & \overline{X} & \longmapsto & \left((X_3, X_1), -\dfrac{X_3\left(X_2{X_3}^4 + 2\,X_1\right)}{X_2}, \overline{X}\right) \end{array}$$

We introduce now our next concept. Recall that we defined in (3.2)

$$\psi\colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^{n+m}\colon\ \overline{t} \mapsto (\,\overline{t}\,,\,\overline{\delta}\,).$$

Definition 4.5. We define the *tower variety* of $\mathcal{P}$ as $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}} = \overline{\operatorname{Im}\psi}$, and the rational maps

$$\mathcal{R}\colon \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}} \to \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}\colon (\,\overline{t}\,,\,\overline{\delta}\,) \mapsto \overline{x} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^*\colon \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}} \to \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}\colon (\,\overline{t}\,,\,\overline{\delta}\,,\,\overline{x}\,) \mapsto (\,\overline{t}\,,\,\overline{\delta}\,).$$

Therefore $\mathcal{R}$ is a rational lift of the nonrational $\mathcal{P}$. The next diagram shows the previous and new definitions.



(4.1)

The next theorem states the main properties of the tower variety.

Theorem 4.6. *$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}$ is irreducible and has dimension n. Furthermore, $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}} = \overline{\pi^*(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}})}$.*

Proof. First, we prove that $\dim \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}} \geq n$. Let $F_1, \ldots, F_s$ be a generating set of the ideal of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}$. By definition, $F_i(\psi(\,\overline{T}\,)) = 0$. By the chain rule, $J_{(F_1,\ldots,F_s)}(\psi(\,\overline{T}\,)) \cdot J_{\psi}(\,\overline{T}\,) = (0)$. Note that the $n \times n$ principal matrix of J_ψ is the identity; thus $\operatorname{rank} J_\psi = n$. By the Sylvester rank inequality ([Hoh02, Theorem 6.5.6]), we have that $k := \operatorname{rank} J_{(F_1,\ldots,F_s)}(\psi(\,\overline{T}\,)) \leq m$. Therefore, $\dim \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}} \geq \dim \ker J_{(F_1,\ldots,F_s)}(\psi(\,\overline{T}\,)) = n + m - k \geq n + m - m = n$.

Second, by Theorem 3.9, $\dim \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}} = n$. So $\pi^*(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}})$ has dimension n, because its fibers are generically 0-dimensional (see e.g. [Har92, Corollary 11.13]). In order to show that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}} \subset \overline{\pi^*(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}})}$, it suffices prove the inclusion of the dense subset $\psi(\mathrm{Dom}(\varphi))$, which is true by the commutativity of the corresponding diagram. Therefore $n \geq \dim \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}} \geq n$.

Finally, since $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is irreducible (see Theorem 3.9) and π^* is finite, we have that $\pi^*(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}})$ is irreducible. Therefore, since $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}} \subset \overline{\pi^*(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}})}$, and $\dim(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}) = n = \dim(\pi^*(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}))$, we have that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}} = \overline{\pi^*(\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}})}$ and hence it is irreducible. □

Theorem 4.6 provides an algorithm to determine the tower variety.

Remark 4.7 (Implicitization algorithm for $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}$)**.** The following algorithm computes equations of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}$:

(i) Compute defining polynomials of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$ (see Remark 3.12).
(ii) Eliminate the variables $\overline{X}$ to obtain the equations of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}$.

Example 4.8 (Example 4.4 continued)**.** We consider the radical parametrization $\mathcal{P}$ introduced in Example 3.6. There we computed generators of $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{P}}$, namely the set $\mathcal{F}$. In this situation, the tower variety can be determined by eliminating in $\mathcal{F}$ the variables $\overline{X}$. We obtain $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}} = V(-T_1^{10} + 4T_1T_2^3 + \Delta^2 + 4T_1) \subset \mathbb{C}^3$.

The importance of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ resides in the fact that they encode rationally the information of the radical parametrization. For example, for curves, the mere existence of $\mathcal{R}$ implies that $\text{genus}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}) \leq \text{genus}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}})$; in particular, if $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}$ is rational, then $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is rational. In other words:

Theorem 4.9. *Let $n = 1$. Given a tower of fields such that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}$ is rational, any radical parametrization from that tower will give rise to a rational curve.*

There is no general bound on the discrepancy between $\text{genus}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}})$ and $\text{genus}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}})$, as the next example shows.

Example 4.10. The parametrization $\mathcal{P}(t) = \left(\sqrt[n]{1-t^n}, \sqrt[n]{1-t^n}\right)$ is $n : 1$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the line $X_1 = X_2$, clearly a genus 0 curve. But for the tower $\mathbb{C}(t) \subset \mathbb{C}(t)(\sqrt[n]{1-t^n})$ we have $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}} = V(T^n + \Delta^n - 1)$, a Fermat curve with genus $\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$.

For general dimension, if $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}$ is unirational then $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is unirational. Similar conditions on the plurigenera may exist in higher dimension.

Theorem 4.11.

(i) *If the tracing index of $\mathcal{P}$ is 1, then $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}$ are birationally equivalent. Therefore, $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is rational if and only $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}$ is rational.*
(ii) *If $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}$ is unirational, then $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is unirational. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{T}(\bar{t})$ is a rational parametrization of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}$ then $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})(\bar{t}) = \mathcal{P}(\pi_{\mathbb{T}} \circ \mathcal{T}(\bar{t}))$ is a rational parametrization of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ where $\pi_{\mathbb{T}}(\bar{t}, \bar{\delta}) = (\bar{t})$.*

Proof.

(i) Since $\mathcal{R} = \pi \circ (\pi^*)^{-1}$ over irreducible dense sets, and both are injective, $\mathcal{R}$ is rational and injective, thus birational (see also [Sch98a] for a constructive proof).

(ii) Clear since $\mathcal{P} \circ \pi_{\mathbb{T}} = \mathcal{R}$.

□

The last part of the theorem gives rise to an algorithm for rational reparametrization. Assume that an algorithm that decides the existence of rational parametrizations and computes them is available; see for instance [SWPD08] for curves and [Sch98b] for surfaces. Call this algorithm RatParamAlg. Then, the last two theorems provide a reparametrization algorithm.

Algorithm 4.12 (Reparametrization Algorithm)**.**

Input: A radical parametrization $\mathcal{P}$ given as in Def 2.2.
Output: One of the following:

- a reparametrization of $\mathcal{P}$ that makes it rational
- "$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ cannot be parametrized rationally"
- "No answer"

1. Compute $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}$ and apply RatParamAlg to it.
2. **if** $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}$ has a rational parametrization $\mathcal{T}(\,\overline{t}\,)$ **then**
3. **return** $\pi_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathcal{T}(\,\overline{t}\,))$ with $\pi_{\mathbb{T}}(\,\overline{T}\,,\overline{\Delta}) = (\,\overline{T}\,)$
4. **else**
5. compute the tracing index m of $\mathcal{P}$
6. **if** $m = 1$ **then**
7. **return** "$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ cannot be parametrized rationally"
8. **else**
9. **return** "No answer"

The following examples illustrate the previous algorithm.

Example 4.13. Let $\mathcal{P} = (\sqrt[3]{t}, \sqrt{1-\sqrt[3]{t^2}})$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P} := \quad & \{(\delta_1,\delta_2), \mathbb{T} := [\mathbb{C}(t) \subset \mathbb{C}(t)(\delta_1) \subset \mathbb{C}(t,\delta_1)(\delta_2), \delta_1^3 = t, \delta_2^2 = 1-\delta_1^2], \\ & \delta_1(1) = 1, \delta_2(1) = 0\}\end{aligned}$$

We have $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}} = V(\Delta_1^3 - T, \Delta_2^2 - (1 - \Delta_1^2))$, that can be parametrized by

$$\mathcal{T}(t) = \left(\left(\frac{2t}{1+t^2} \right)^3, \frac{2t}{1+t^2}, \frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2} \right).$$

Then we obtain the rational reparametrization

$$\mathcal{P}\left(\left(\frac{2t}{1+t^2} \right)^3 \right) = \left(\frac{2t}{1+t^2}, \frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2} \right).$$

Example 4.14. We consider the surface defined by $x^3 - x^2z - xz^2 + z^3 - 8y^2 = 0$. Let us say that we want to parametrize it, if possible, rationally. One standard way is to deduce it from the computation of the arithmetic genus and the plurigenus of the surface. Instead, since the degree w.r.t. y of the defining polynomial is 2, we may first compute a radical parametrization (see [SS13] for further details). We obtain, for instance,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &= \left(t_1, \tfrac{1}{4}\sqrt{2t_1 + 2t_2}\,(-t_2 + t_1), t_2 \right) \\ &= \left\{ \left(t_1, \tfrac{1}{4}\delta(t_1 - t_2), t_2 \right), \mathbb{T} := [\mathbb{C}(\bar{t}\,) \subset \mathbb{C}(\bar{t}\,)(\delta), \delta^2 = 2(t_1 + t_2)], \delta(1,1) = 2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The tower variety is

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}} = V(\Delta^2 - 2T_1 - 2T_2)$$

which is clearly rational and parametrizable as

$$\mathcal{T}(h_1, h_2) = \left(\frac{1}{2}h_1^2 - h_2, h_2, h_1 \right).$$

Now, we can reparametrize:

$$\mathcal{P}\left(\frac{1}{2}h_1^2 - h_2, h_2 \right) = \left(\frac{1}{2}h_1^2 - h_2, \frac{1}{4}h_1 \left(-2h_2 + \frac{1}{2}h_1^2 \right), h_2 \right)$$

which is a rational parametrization of the given surface.

Even if we cannot reparametrize a radical variety rationally, sometimes we may be able to simplify the radical parametrization using the same technique.

Example 4.15. Suppose $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}} = V(\Delta_1^2 - T, \Delta_2^3 - (12 - T))$. This is a genus 1 curve, thus it admits a $2:1$ rational map to $\mathbb{C}$. Such map can be inverted by means of a square root, that is, there exists $\mathcal{T}_2 \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{T}}$ radical and defined in an extension of $\mathbb{C}(t)$ of degree 2.

$$\mathcal{T}_2(t) = (-t^3 + 12, \sqrt{-t^3 + 12}, t).$$

Now, given any $\mathcal{P}$ with the tower $\mathbb{C}(t) \subset \mathbb{C}(\sqrt{t}) \subset \mathbb{C}(\sqrt{t}, \sqrt[3]{12 - t^2})$, we have that $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{T}_2$ is a parametrization of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}$ defined in the same degree 2 extension as $\mathcal{T}_2$. In other words, $\pi_{\mathbb{T}} \circ \mathcal{T}_2$ is a reparametrization that simplifies $\mathcal{P}$.

Finally, rational reparametrization can be applied to the computation of certain radical integrals.

Example 4.16. Let us show how to compute primitives of the form

$$\int R\left(\sqrt[3]{t}, \sqrt{1 - \sqrt[3]{t^2}}\right) dt$$

where R is any rational function. Taking into account Example 4.13, the integral can be converted, by $t = \left(\frac{2u}{1+u^2}\right)^3$, into the integral

$$\int R\left(\frac{2u}{1+u^2}, \frac{1-u^2}{1+u^2}\right) \cdot \frac{-24u^2(u^2-1)^4}{(u^2+1)}\, du$$

which has a rational function integrand. Note that $u = \dfrac{1 + \sqrt{-t^{2/3} + 1}}{t^{1/3}}$.

References

[CF76] B. F. Caviness and R. J. Fateman. Simplification of radical expressions. In *Proceedings of the Third ACM Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, SYMSAC '76, pages 329–338, New York, NY, USA, 1976. ACM.

[CLO07] David Cox, John Little, and Donal O'Shea. *Ideals, varieties, and algorithms*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, third edition, 2007. An introduction to computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra.

[DST88] James H. Davenport, Yvon Siret, and Evelyne Tournier. *Computer algebra*. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], London, 1988. Systems and algorithms for algebraic computation, With a preface by Daniel Lazard, Translated from the French by A. Davenport and J. H. Davenport, With a foreword by Anthony C. Hearn.

[Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.

[Har92] Joe Harris. *Algebraic geometry*, volume 133 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992. A first course.

[Har13] Michael Harrison. Explicit solution by radicals, gonal maps and plane models of algebraic curves of genus 5 or 6. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 51:3–21, 2013.

[HL93] Josef Hoschek and Dieter Lasser. *Fundamentals of computer aided geometric design*. A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1993. Translated from the 1992 German edition by Larry L. Schumaker.

[Hoh02] Franz E. Hohn. *Elementary matrix algebra*. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2002. Reprint of the 3rd (1973) edition [Macmillan, New York; MR0349697 (50 #2190)].

[Lan02] Serge Lang. *Algebra*, volume 211 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 2002.

[Sch98a] Josef Schicho. Inversion of birational maps with Gröbner bases. In *Gröbner bases and applications (Linz, 1998)*, volume 251 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 495–503. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[Sch98b] Josef Schicho. Rational parametrization of surfaces. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 26(1):1–29, 1998.

[SS11] J. Rafael Sendra and David Sevilla. Radical parametrizations of algebraic curves by adjoint curves. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 46(9):1030–1038, 2011.

[SS13] J. Rafael Sendra and David Sevilla. First steps towards radical parametrization of algebraic surfaces. *Comput. Aided Geom. Design*, 30(4):374–388, 2013.

[SW01] J. Rafael Sendra and Franz Winkler. Tracing index of rational curve parametrizations. *Comput. Aided Geom. Design*, 18(8):771–795, 2001.

[SWPD08] J. Rafael Sendra, Franz Winkler, and Sonia Pérez-Díaz. *Rational algebraic curves*, volume 22 of *Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 2008. A computer algebra approach.

[Wan01] Dongming Wang. *Elimination methods*. Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation. Springer-Verlag, Vienna, 2001.

[ZS75] Oscar Zariski and Pierre Samuel. *Commutative algebra. Vol. 1*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1975. With the cooperation of I. S. Cohen, Corrected reprinting of the 1958 edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 28.